Sinkron

Volume 7 | Number 2 | April 2022





TABLE OF CONTENT

Analysis of Backpropagation Method in Predicting Drug Stock Elisawati Elisawati, Arie Linarta, Al Malikul Ikhwanda Putra, Herris Elvaningsih	297-307
Development Of Web-Based Learning Evaluation Tools in Vocational High Schools Mas'ud Muhammadiah, Abdul Wahab, Susilo Surahman, Pandu Adi Cakranegara, Maria Purnama Nduru	308-313
Clustering Of Clothing Sales Data At Top Store Using K-Means Method Virandha Salbinda, Rani Irma Handayani, Normah Normah	314-320
Collaboration of Profile Matching and MCDM-AHP Methods on Employee Selection for Promotion Akmaludin Akmaludin, Erene Gernaria Sihombing , Linda Sari Dewi, Rinawati Rinawati , Ester Arisawati	321-332
Implementation of CRM Method as a Business Strategy of Jangek Fauzi's Home Industry Muhammad Rizki, Dewi Anggraeni, Akmal Akmal	333-340
AHP-SMART Method as Evaluation Decision Support for Employee Promotion Akmaludin Akmaludin, Adhi Dharma Suriyanto, Nandang Iriadi, Toni Sukendar, Budi Santoso	341-350
The Impact of Basic Programming Interactive E-Module Implementation on Students' Learning Outcomes in Distance Learning Agariadne Dwinggo Samala, Ambiyar, Sukardi, Yose Indarta, Fadhli Ranuharja	351-358
Objects Monitoring RADAR using Bluetooth Ultrasonic Daniel Avian Karjadi, Haryono Haryono	359-366
Design and Build Minang Music Applications Based on Android Ika Parma Dewi, Fadhil Muhammad Kamil, Ambiyar Ambiyar , Refdinal Refdinal, Yose Indarta	367-375
Application of the Hungarian Method and Software Quality Management (QM) Testing in Determining Optimal Wage Costs at OneTop Frozen Food Stores Rusdiansyah Rusdiansyah, Yopi Handrianto, Hendra Supendar, Tuslaela Tuslaela	376-383
Employee Performance Assessment of PT.Satria Manunggal Petrolindo Using 360-Degree Feedback Method Tri Hartati, Noer Hikmah	384-391

Identification of Buni Fruit Image Using Euclidean Distance Method Abdul Hafidz, Dadang Iskandar Mulyana, Dyan Bagus Sumantri, Kurniawan Setyo Nugroho	392-398
Behavior Analysis of Social Media Use Among Adolescents Ardi Maulana Nugraha, Ichsan Ichsan, Mustika Wati Alfia Ningtyas, Abdul Samad Arief, Pandu adi Cakranegara	399-404
Design and Build Mini Digital Scale using Internet of Things Bayu Yasa Wedha, Alessandro Benito Putra Bayu Wedha, Haryono Haryono	405-412
Assignment of Motor Mechanics at the Tire Palace Using the Hungarian Method and Testing Software Quality Management (QM) Widiarina Widiarina, Kartika Mariskhana, Ita Dewi Sintawati	413-420
A Detection system with temperature in a room using Arduino SISTEM PENDETEKSI SUHU RUANGAN DENGAN MENGUNAKAN ARDUINO Riandana Afira, Ayu Widya Purnama, Teri Ade Putra, Irzal Arief Wisky	421-428
Monitoring System For Tillandsia Ornamental Plants Using Fuzzy Algorithm Meyti Eka Apriyani , Krisna Widianggara , Ade Ismail	429-438
Design and Build a Student Value Processing Information System (eRapor) (Case study: SMKN 1 Kecamatan Luak) Ika Parma Dewi, Ambiyar Ambiyar , Afif Rahman Riyanda, Reva Fadilla, Novi Hendri Adi	439-446
Style Transfer Generator for Dataset Testing Classification Bayu Yasa Wedha, Daniel Avian Karjadi, Alessandro Enriqco Putra Bayu Wedha, Handri Santoso	447-454
Super Resolution Generative Adversarial Networks for Image Supervise Learning Mariska Lupitha, Handri Santoso	455-463
Heavy-loaded Vehicles Detection Model Testing using Synthetic Dataset Daniel Avian Karjadi, Bayu Yasa Wedha, Handri Santoso	464-471
K-Means Performance Optimization Using Rank Order Centroid (ROC) And Braycurtis Distance Hafiz Irwandi, Opim Salim Sitompul, Sutarman Sutarman	472-478
Using Edmodo as a Media of E-Learning Learning in Educational Technology Courses Muhammad Thoiyibi, Muhammad Nuzli	478-484

Compare VGG19, ResNet50, Inception-V3 for Review Food Rating Andrew Andrew, Handri Santoso	845-494
CycleGAN and SRGAN to Enrich the Dataset Budi Priswanto, Handri Santoso	495-503
Development of District Civil Service Applications Fadhli Ranuharja, Ambiyar, Yose Indarta, Agariadne Dwinggo Samala, Ika Parma Dew	504-514 vi
Expert System Detects Laptop Damage Using Naive Bayes Method Linda Marlinda, Faruq Aziz, Widiyawati Widiyawati, Ajeng Sri Widyasih	515-523
Human Resources Monitoring System using Sensors GPS on Android Smartphone Daniel Karjadi	524-531
Predictions of Indonesia Economic Phenomena Based on Online News Using Random Forest Fitri Khairani, Anang Kurnia, Muhammad Nur Aidi, Setia Pramana	532-540
Gesture Recognition using Conditional Generative Adversarial Networks Gladys Putri, Handri Santoso	532-540
Fall Detection using Sensors on a Smartphone Budi Priswanto, Haryono Haryono	541-548
Implementation of Enterprise Architecture in Cloud Computing Companies Dennis Michael, Richardus Eko Indrajit, Erick Dazki	549-559
Predict stock prices using the Generative Adversarial Networks Saiful Azhari Mohammad, Handri Santoso	560-567
A proposed approach for plagiarism detection in Article documents Ayoub Ali M. Saeed , Alaa Yaseen Taqa	568-578
Design of Pond Water Temperature Monitoring Built Using NodeMCU ESP8266 Saiful Azhari Muhammad, Haryono Haryono	579-585
Rivest Cipher 6 Algorithm Method to secure messages of Medical Record files at Perlayuan Health Center Aldi Rapandi Ritonga, Deci Irmayani, Ali Akbar Ritonga	586-594
Design of incoming goods receipt at the department of industry and trade of Labuhanbatu Regency based on information systems Eldi Gardana, Muhammad Halmi Dar, Masrizal Masrizal	595-600
Prototype of movement monitoring Objects using Arduino Nano and SMS	601-610

Mariska Lupitha, Haryono Haryono

Measurement of Photosynthetic Pigment Content using Convolutional Neural Network	611-618
Imam Dwi Rezeki, Fitri Aini Nasution, Angga Putra Juledi	
Implementation of Support Vector Machine Algorithm for Shopee Customer Sentiment Anlysis Melda Betaria Sitepu, Ibnu Rasyid Munthe, Syaiful Zuhri Harahap	619-627
Comparative analysis of resampling techniques on Machine Learning algorithm Tri Suci Amelia, Mila Nirmala Sari Hasibuan, Rahmadani Pane	628-634
Comparative analysis of software defined network performance and conventional based on latency parameters Raja Pahlevi Harahap, Deci Irmayani, Rahma Muti'ah	635-640
Forecasting Health Sector Stock Prices using ARIMAX Method Muhammad Aprilianto, Mila Nirmala Sari Hasibuan, Syaiful Zuhri Harahap	641-648
Oil Palm Fruit Ripeness Detection using Deep Learning Suci Ashari, Gomal Juni Yanris, Iwan Purnama	649-656
Implementation of the RAD Method to Build Catering Application Android-based Tasyabila Tasyabila, Volvo Sihombing, Fitri Aini Nasution	657-662
Design and build online citizens complaint system in Labuhanbatu using Waterfall Method Aris Munandar, Deci Irmayani, Angga Putra Juledi	663-671
SVM and Naïve Bayes Algorithm Comparison for User Sentiment Analysis on Twitter Rahmat Syahputra, Gomal Juni Yanris, Deci Irmayani	671-678
Application of Waterfall model in development of family planning participants information system Mulya Al Fajar, Muhammad Halmi Dar, Rohani Rohani	679-686
Design and Build Online Job Vacancy Application in Labuhanbatu Regency Linda Santika, Muhammad Halmi Dar, Marnis Nasution	687-693
Development of Corporate Digital Archives in the Industrial Age 4.0 Ria Rizki Faujiah, Gomal Juni Yanris, Rahmadani Pane	694-700
A Mobile-based Expert System for Disease Diagnosis Child using Best-First	701-707

Search Algorithm Nurwahyuni Hasan, Gomal Juni Yanris, Elysa Rohayani Hasibuan	
Web-based Blood Donor Management Information Sytem using Waterfall Method	708-713
Content-Based Image Retrieval for Songket Motifs using Graph Matching Yullyana Yullyana, Deci Irmayani, Mila Nirmala Sari Hasibuan Reza Silvia Andriani, Deci Irmayani, Ali Akbar Ritonga	714-719
Web Application Development for Syari Online Shop Using 4D Model Development Yose Indarta, Fadhli Ranuharja, Sukardi Sukardi, Agariadne Dwinggo Samala Samala, Ika Parma Dewi	720-728
Design and Build Inventory System using EOQ and ROP Methods (Case Study: CV. Ziefa Karya) Rapida Hanim Lubis, Fitri Aini Nasution, Angga Putra Juledi	729-736
Design and Build Expert System Application for Diagnosing Facial Skin Disease based on Android Sarinawati Sarinawati, Gomal Juni Yanris, Rahma Muti'ah	737-745
Zakat Fitrah Application based on Web Framework using Waterfall Method Karsan Friyansyah, Gomal Juni Yanris, Rahma Muti'ah	746-752
Applying genetic algorithm for optimization income value Ayu Febri Siagian, Gomal Juni Yanris, Sahat Parulian Sitorus	753-759
Evaluation of Labuhanbatu Regency Government Website based on Performance Variables Indah Armaini, Muhammad Halmi Dar, Budianto Bangun	760-766

Collaboration of Profile Matching and MCDM-AHP Methods on Employee Selection for Promotion

Akmaludin^{1)*}, Erene Gernaria Sihombing²⁾, Linda Sari Dewi³⁾, Rinawati⁴⁾, Ester Arisawati⁵⁾ ¹⁾²⁾³⁾⁴⁾⁵⁾Universitas Nusa Mandiri, Jakarta, Indonesia

¹⁾akmaludin.akm@nusamandiri.ac.id, ²⁾erene.egs@nusamandiri.ac.id, ³⁾linda.lrw@nusamandiri.ac.id, ⁴⁾rinawati.riw@nusamandiri.ac.id, ⁵⁾ester.err@nusamandiri.ac.id

Submitted : Jan 11, 2022 | Accepted : Jan 27, 2022 | Published : Apr 1, 2022

Abstract: To give appreciation to every employee who has been able to understand the journey of the system in a company, it is appropriate to be given appreciation in the process of changing leadership and as a form of motivation for all employees in the company as a form of promotion. The promotion process must be carried out objectively, so that a good leadership change system can be seen as a strength in the company's survival for each period. The process of changing leadership through promotions is at the forefront of running a sustainable company. With so many employees, the biggest obstacle that often becomes crucial is how to select the leadership relay holder through promotions in the company that can be carried out objectively, thus a method is needed. worthy to be used as a benchmark for selecting employees in terms of promotion. There is a method that can be used for employee selection as a form of promotion, namely the profile matching method through the assessment of the weight of the Aspects and the magnitude of the GAP value with the concept of determining the largest rank as the winner in the selection process for promoted employees. Of the six employees who are appointed as candidates for leadership candidates through promotions, they will be selected consistently with a number of criteria as a barometer of the selection process. The results obtained through the promotion of positions in the leadership change process were the first rank was elected KR02 (4.67), followed by the second rank KR01 (4.65) and the third rank KR05 (4.46). Thus, it can be said that the combination of profile matching and MCDM-AHP methods can be used to carry out the employee selection process as a form of promotion.

Keywords: AHP-MCDM, Objectives, Profile Matching, Promotion, Selections.

INTRODUCTION

To build sustainable certainty in building the leadership process in the company, it is necessary to make wise and fair rules of the game as a form of promotion to all employees in a company. This needs to be done because it is to get around the attitude of appreciation to all employees and also as a form of motivation to all employees in the company (Sudrajat, 2018). Due to the condition that there are so many employees in the company, an appropriate method is needed and can be used to carry out the selection process consistently (Herlambang et al., 2018). The purpose of this research is to encourage all employees in terms of promotion in the company consistently and objectively (Nisa & Sutinah, 2018). The method that can be used for promotion is the profile matching method, this selection process requires a number of criteria, namely 1) Communication which is divided into three namely speaking, listening, and recording 2) Discipline which is divided into three namely Attendance, Work, and Reports, 3) Loyalty is divided into three namely Responsibility, Cooperation, and Craft, 4) Cleanliness which is divided into three namely Action, Treatment, and Ability. These four criteria are used for the selection process of six employees who become candidates for promotion in the company. The profile matching method can be used as an employee selection process which becomes a barometer for determining promotions in the company. It is known that every employee has seen a lot of good work ethic and it is appropriate to be given a reward because it is a form of encouragement at work. However, it is hoped that the leadership change system should be made as good as possible to provide guarantees to all employees in the company no longer using subjective methods or by appointing directly by the leadership, but must be objective. Several related studies in terms of promotion as a form of decision support, namely "Implementation of Profile Matching Methods In a Mobile Based Adolescent Nutritional Assessment Systems" (Herlambang et al., 2018), "Selection of Outstanding Employees Using the Profile Matching Method" (Sudrajat, 2018), "Profile Matching

*name of corresponding author





for Decision Support Systems for Selection of Maintenance Server Vendors" (Nisa & Sutinah, 2018). and Networks", "Decision Support System for Selection of Majors Using the Profile Matching Method at SMK Negeri 2 Sekayu (Allba & Sasmita, 2018)".

In determining the determination of the importance of each criterion, it must be based on research results and not set manually, according to the results of the research, the stages that must be carried out are using instrumentation in the form of a questionnaire from a number of respondents which is obtained by converting the scale from an arithmetic scale to a geometric scale, then converted back to AHP scale. Thus the pairwise multiplication of matrices can be processed through mathematics algebra matrix. The results can be seen in Table 13 and Fig. 2, that the results must be carried out in four iteration stages until no more differences are found against the eigenvector values as shown in Fig 3 and Table 14. This is what every researcher should do. It is very clear that the priority of an eigenvector has a level of accuracy that is in accordance with the application of expert choice and this is often used by research science dicision in determining consistency (Lipovetsky, 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Decision Support System (DSS)

Decision Support System (DSS) is a form of computerized solution (computer base) that can be used to solve semi-structured problems. DSS can also be a system used by managers to make computer-assisted decisions through the retrieval process as data collection that can be used as decision support (Kamps & Jassemi-Zargani, 2021). Decision making by managers is to determine the next step in carrying out organizational life . Seeing the understanding of the system which is a collection of a number of elements that interact with each other to achieve a goal (Bellahcene et al., 2020). Many experts define a system in another sense as a collection of a number of boundaries that are interconnected with each other to achieve goals by supporting each other to form an attachment function. Decision support systems have many uses in solving problems with various criteria used as measurement barometers(Dixon-Ogbechi & Adebayo, 2020), one of which can be used in the form of real applications, namely the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), where this AHP was discovered by an expert from the University of Pesburg in America who named Thomas L. Saaty in 1970 and today there are still many who use it as a ranking method with many criteria (Yalcin & Ozturk, 2020).

In its category, AHP is part of the Multi-criteria Decision Making (MCDM) (Topcu & Ozaydin, 2020). Thus, AHP can be used to solve problems from simple problems to even complex problems (Noshad & Asgharipour, 2019)(Ali et al., 2020), the AHP method is applied to problem conditions where the number of barometer criteria from a small number to even a complex barometer of criteria is not a problem. On the other hand, AHP also has extraordinary abilities, namely being able to handle quantitative problems as well as qualitative problems, even problems that are a combination of the two, both quantitative problems combined with qualitative problems (Singh & Singh, 2019). The Decision Support System has a flexible supporting application, namely Expert Choice (Saaty, 2010), this application can be used to determine the optimal value of the eigenvector in its calculation,(The et al., 1936). The calculations contained in the Expert Choice application can also be proven by the mathematical algebra matrices method. So that both can prove each other to the optimal magnitude of the eigenvector value. Several formulas that can be used using AHP, namely (1) are used to determine pairwise matrices with the number of orders determined by the number of criteria and alternatives.

$$M_{(r,s)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{(1,1)} & x_{(1,2)} & x_{(1,3)} & \cdots & x_{(1,s)} \\ x_{(2,1)} & x_{(2,2)} & x_{(2,3)} & \cdots & x_{(2,s)} \\ x_{(3,1)} & x_{(3,2)} & x_{(2,4)} & \cdots & x_{(3,s)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{(r,1)} & x_{(r,2)} & x_{(r,3)} & \cdots & x_{(r,s)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

To perform the calculation of the consistency process there are two consistency that need to be known, the first Consistency Index (CI), the determination of the CI value in (2) is used to find out how long max is generated from the multiplication between pairwise matrices with the optimal eigenvector value generated which is adjusted to the order of the pairwise matrices. Meanwhile, Consistency Ratio (CR) is used to determine whether the decision support is acceptable or not. The standard value of CR in (3) according to Saaty's determination must be less or equal to 10%. If the result of the CR operation is the opposite, the decision support will be rejected, meaning that an error occurred with the entry made when entering data elements in the pairwise matrices.

$$CI = \frac{(\lambda \max - \mathbf{n})}{(n-1)} \tag{2}$$

$$CR = \frac{CI}{RI} \tag{3}$$

*name of corresponding author





Meanwhile, to determine the CR value, it must be supported by a Random Index (RI) table where the RI table provides a clear reference to the use of a matrix that is adjusted to the number of criteria or alternative orders. How to use it by paying attention to the number of orders used, for example, if the number of orders is 1 or 2, the value of RI used as the denominator is zero, usually researchers use the number of orders above 2, this is very important to do so that the value of the denominator is not worth infinity. The best solution is to determine the value for the criteria and alternatives must have data elements whose number is more than two orders, so that it looks consistent with the results obtained.

					Table 1					
	Random Index									
Ordo	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
RI	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.90	1.12	1.24	1.32	1.41	1.45	1.48

Profile Matching

Profile Matching is used to solve problems in which there is an ideal level of predictor variables and must be met by a number of subjects to be studied. Profile Matching is a process of assuming the level of predictor variables that are ideal for subjects who are ready for the research process (Sitanggang, Rianto dan Sibagariang, 2019), (Sudrajat, 2018). There are several stages in the process of using the Profile Matching method (Nisa & Sutinah, 2018), namely stage 1) Assessment, assessment is a very important aspect in determining two factors, namely the main factor (core factor) and supporting factor (secondary factor). The second stage 2) mapping the competency GAP, which is used to determine the magnitude of the difference between the criteria used, the formula for determining the competency GAP, pay attention to (4). The third step is to determine the amount of weighting. The weighting of the GAP values used for the use of the Profile Matching method can be seen in Table 2. Stage 4) Grouping Core factors and Secondary factors, this is done after the process of determining the weight of GAP values against the required criteria (Allba & Sasmita, 2018), then broken down into main factors (Core factors) with the calculation formula can be seen in (5) and the supporting factor (Secondary factor) with the calculation formula using (6). Step 5) Determine the total value of each aspect that is estimated to affect the performance of each profile, the calculation formula can use (7).

GAP= Criteria Value-Minimum Value (4)

$$NCI = \frac{\sum NC}{\sum IC}$$
(5)

$$NSI = \frac{\sum NS}{\sum IS}$$
(6)

$$N = (x)\% NCI + (x)\% NS$$
(7)

$$R = (x)\% NKI + (x)\% NSK + (x)\% NP$$
(8)

The dimension (x)% in the forumula (7) is usually set with a ratio of 60-40 because it is based on the priority of the core factor and secondary factor, in contrast to the use of formula (8) on the dimension (x)% can be done manually but this way more subjective in nature, but the best way is with the help of questionnaire instrumentation which is applied using the AHP method because to determine the value of decisions on rankings it must be objective, so that decision support is carried out consistently and naturally. The last step of Stage 6) is to determine a rating that can be calculated using (8).

Table 2.

	GAP score weight				
No.	Nilai Bobot	Selisih GAP	Describing		
1.	5	5 0 Competence as required			
2.	4,5	1	1 level excess individual competence		
3.	4	-1	Individual competence less than 1 level		
4.	3,5	2	Individual competence excess 2 levels		
5.	3	-2	Individual competence less than 2 levels		
6.	2,5	3	Individual competence excess 3 levels		
7.	2	-3	Individual competence less than 3 levels		

*name of corresponding author





8.	1,5	4	Individual competence excess 4 levels
9.	1	-4	Individual competence less than 4 levels

METHOD Create The Criteria and Target value Set Core factor (CF) and Secondarv factor (SF) Mapping GAP Calculation for Each Aspect Calculate CF Calculate CF Calculate The Total value of NI from CF and SF Calculate Total for Each Aspect Ranking Decision Making

Fig. 1. Frofile Matching Algorithm.

RESULT

The Profile Matching method is a method that is often used as a mechanism in decision making by assuming that there is an ideal level of predictor variables that must be met by the subjects studied. To build the continuity of the company in a sustainable manner, of course the readiness of employees is needed to act as potential candidates, these candidates can be categorized as very mature in understanding the work processes that exist in the company, on the grounds that they are able to map the journey of the existing system and employees such as it is appropriate to have the opportunity to be given appreciation (Umar et al., 2020) for being a leader in an organization when there is a change in leadership (Lahope et al., 2020). If the leadership selection system is assessed in a good and consistent category, then many employees want to compete to become candidates for leadership candidates. The profile matching method is a method that can be used for the selection process in a company or organization, no longer with a system of pointing at the generation of leadership because it is known by many people that the system is not objective. The profile matching method can be used to consistently apply the leadership selection process based on weighting criteria into every aspect of the assessment. Of the six candidates who come from selected employees who are ready to become the best candidates in the company, they will be selected strictly and consistently with a barometer of four criteria and twelve sub-criteria providing a clear picture and can be seen in Table 3 and equipped with a target value.

	Table 3						
	Aspect criteria and target value						
No.	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Target Value	No.	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Target Value
1	Communication	Speech	5	3	Loyality	Responsible	5

*name of corresponding author





		listening	3			cooperation	4
		Recording	4			Assiduity	3
2	Discipline	Presence	4	4	Cleanliness	Neatness	3
		Working	3			Treatment	3
		Reporting	5			Magnificent	4

Next determine the grouping of the Core factor and Secondary factor of each sub-criteria respectively. This is determined according to the priority of each criterion with a comparison value of 60% for the core factor and 40% for the secondary factor. Pay attention to Table 4 as a form of grouping. By carrying out the mapping process, a GAP assessment will be formed for each candidate from the employees to be selected, pay attention to Table 5. Based on the respective criteria and sub-criteria.

Grouping of Core factor and secondary factor						
No.	Aspect	Core factor Secondary factor				
1	Communication	Speech	Listening			
		Recording				
2	Discipline	Presence	Working			
		Reporting				
3	Loyalitas	Responsible	Assiduity			
		Kerjasama				
4	Cleanliness	Neatness	Treatment			
		Magnificent				

In Table 4, it can be seen that the grouping of each sub-criteria into a Core factor and Secondary factor, each Core factor consists of two criteria and the Secondary factor only takes one sub-criteria which is determined from each criterion.

		Марр	ing GAP	communi	cation			
No.	ID Karyawan	GAP S	GAP Sub-Aspect Value			Target Value Profile Criteria		
		1	2	3	5	3	4	
1	KR01	4	3	4	-1	0	0	
2	KR02	5	3	4	0	0	0	
3	KR03	5	2	3	0	-1	-1	
4	KR04	4	2	3	-1	-1	-1	
5	KR05	5	3	4	0	0	0	
6	KR06	3	2	2	-2	-1	-2	

In Table 5 it can be seen that the sub-criteria are determined with numbers 1,2, and 3 as GAP Aspect values that have been given an assessment for each candidate in this case employees who are represented by employee codes such as KRY01, KRY02, to KRY06 and for mapping GAP criteria others follow this rule. For Profile Criteria Value, a maximum range rating must be given according to the rules in Table 2. With a maximum rating of 5, while those listed in Table 5 the maximum value is 5.3 and 4 for Profile Criteria Value, respectively. This rule will apply to other Mapping GAP criteria. The next step is to display all other GAP Mappings such as Mapping GAP Disciplines listed in Table 6, Loyalty GAP Mappings listed in Table 7, and Mapping GAP Cleaness listed in Table 8.

			Tab	le 6						
	Mapping GAP Dicipline									
No.	ID	GAP Sub-Aspect Value			Target V	Target Value Profile Criteria				
_	Karyawan	1	2	3	4	3	5			
1	KR01	4	3	4	0	0	-1			
2	KR02	3	3	5	-1	0	0			
3	KR03	2	2	5	-2	-1	0			
4	KR04	3	3	4	-1	-1	-1			

*name of corresponding author





5	KR05	4	2	4	0	-2	-1	
6	KR06	2	3	5	-2	0	0	-

Note that Table 6 provides an overview of the Mapping GAB Discipline which is the basis for the assessment of a number of employees from the gains that each employee has based on a thorough assessment that is ready to carry out the selection process.

		-	Tab Mapping G	le 7 AP Loyalt	у			
No.	ID Karyawan	GAP Sub-Aspect Value			Target V	Target Value Profile Criteria		
		1	2	3	5	4	3	
1	KR01	4	4	3	-1	0	0	
2	KR02	4	2	3	-1	-2	0	
3	KR03	5	4	2	0	0	-1	
4	KR04	4	3	3	-1	-1	0	
5	KR05	5	3	2	0	-1	-1	
6	KR06	4	3	3	-1	-1	0	

Seen in Table 7, it provides an overview of the Mapping GAP Loyalty which is the basis for the assessment of a number of employees from the earnings of each employee based on a thorough assessment that is ready to carry out the selection process.

		Ν	Mapping GA	AP Cleane	SS		
No.	ID Karyawan	GAP Sub-Aspect Value			Target Value Profile Criteria		
_		1	2	3	3	3	4
1	KR01	3	1	4	0	-2	0
2	KR02	2	3	3	-1	0	-1
3	KR03	3	3	4	0	0	0
4	KR04	2	3	3	-1	0	-1
5	KR05	3	2	3	0	-1	-1
6	KR06	3	2	4	0	-1	0

The next process is after determining the value of Mapping GAP, thus determining the grouping of Total GAP which is a combination of the Core factor and Secondary factor of each GAP with a weighting of 60% for the Core factor and 40% for the Secondary factor. Each criterion will be calculated the amount of the Total GAP. For Total GAP, the Communication Aspect criteria will be shown in Table 9, for Total GAP Discipline Aspect will be shown in Table 10, for Total GAP Loyalty Aspect will be shown in Table 11, and for Total GAP Cleaness will be shown in Table 12. each of the criteria used.

Table 9

	Core factor and Secondary factor Communication Aspect									
No.	Sub-Aspect	GAB Value			CF	SF	Total GAP			
	Alternative	1	2	3	_		(60% CF+40%SF)			
1	KR01	4	5	5	4.5	5	4.7			
2	KR02	5	5	5	5	5	5			
3	KR03	5	4	4	4.5	4	4.3			
4	KR04	4	4	4	4	4	4			
5	KR05	5	5	5	5	5	5			
6	KR06	3	4	3	3	4	3.4			

See on Table 9 describes the acquisition of Core Factor and Secondary Factor with the aim of determining the value of the Total Gap on the Communication Aspect with each weight determined by a percentage.

Table 10

Core factor and Secondary factor Discipline Aspect

*name of corresponding author





No.	Sub-Aspect	(6AB Valu	le	CF	SF	Total GAP
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)
1	KR01	5	5	4	4.5	5	4.7
2	KR02	4	5	5	4.5	5	4.7
3	KR03	3	4	5	4	4	4
4	KR04	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	KR05	5	3	4	4.5	3	3.9
6	KR06	3	5	5	4	5	4.4

Pay attention to Table 10 explaining the acquisition of Core Factor and Secondary Factor with the aim of determining the amount of value possessed by the Total Gap against the Discipline Aspect with each weight determined by a percentage.

Table 11
Core factor and Secondary factor Loyality Aspect

No.	Sub-Aspect	GAB Value		CF	SF	Total GAP	
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)
1	KR01	4	5	5	4.5	5	4.7
2	KR02	4	3	5	3.5	5	4.1
3	KR03	5	5	4	5	4	4.6
4	KR04	4	4	5	4	5	4.4
5	KR05	5	4	4	4.5	4	4.3
6	KR06	4	4	5	4	5	4.4

Note Table 11 describes the acquisition of Core Factor and Secondary Factor with the aim of determining the amount of value possessed by the Total Gap against Loyalty Aspect with each weight determined by a percentage.

	Core factor and Secondary factor Cleanliness Aspect										
No.	Sub-Aspect	GAB Value			CF	SF	Total GAP				
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)				
1	KR01	5	3	5	5	3	4.2				
2	KR02	4	5	4	4	5	4.4				
3	KR03	5	5	5	5	5	5				
4	KR04	4	5	4	4	5	4.4				
5	KR05	5	4	4	4.5	4	4.3				
6	KR06	5	4	5	5	4	4.6				

 Table 12

 Core factor and Secondary factor Cleanliness Aspect

With the calculation of Total GAP combined from each Aspect criteria by grouping employee ownership from Alternatives as candidates for leadership change in the company, then carry out the merging process for each employee then carry out the total GAP addition process as a whole to determine the ranking of each Candidates in the leadership change process will be determined as soon as possible. The calculation for determining the ranking is carried out with the value (N)% using the help of the Mathematical Algebra Matrices method with the iteration concept in AHP and Expert Choice Applications. As for the calculation using the Mathematical Algebra Matrices method to determine the preference weight of each of the four criteria used, data entry arranged into pairwise matrices is obtained through questionnaire instrumentation from prospective candidates as employees of active status within the company using saturated sampling, which means that all employees have the same share in the formation of the magnitude of the value of interest as the accumulated entry into the pairwise matrices as a comparison value. The first step is to calculate the Eigenvector for the calculation of the Total Profile Matching preference weight using the Expert Choice Application, previously prepared pairwise matrices entries which can be seen in Table 13 obtained from the Expert Choice Application. Operational calculations on the acquisition value of the Eigenvector value are also carried out using the Expert





Choice Application, which results can be seen in Fig. 2 with Table 14 by using algebra matrices which produces four iterations and the result of obtaining the optimal eigenvector value as expected.

Table 13									
Input pairwise matrices using Expert Choice Apps.									
	Communication	Discipline	Loyality	Cleanliness					
Communication		1.636	1.895	2.437					
Discipline			1.745	2.039					
Loyality				1.798					
Cleanliness	Incon: 0.01								

In Table 13 describes the pairwise matrices from the results of the arithmetic scale conversion to the geometric scale, then converted back to the AHP scale to be ready to be used as entry pairwise matrices that are ready to be processed both with mathematical algebra matrices and expert choice apps and the result we can see Fig. 2.

Priorities with respect to: Goal: Selection of Employees as...





To get the Optimal Eigenvector value, it can also be done with Mathematical Algebra Matrices, before carrying out the calculation process, first set the pairwise matrices as initial Pairwise Matrices and the result of the multiplication of matrices occurs four times the multiplication iteration process. Pay attention to the process of multiplying pairwise matrices using Mathematical Algebra Matrices in the iteration steps listed in Fig. 3 with clear stages.





Initi	al Pairwise Matrices A	xA=B			
Communication (CO)	1.000	1.636	1.895	2.437	Γ
Discipline (DC)	0.611	1.000	1.745	2.039	
Loyality (LY)	0.528	0.573	1.000	1.798	
Cleaness (CL)	0.410	0.490	0.556	1.000	
	_				_
The First 1	Iteration Pairwise Matr	ices BxB=C			
Communication (CO)	4 000	5 554	8 002	11 617	Г
Discipline (DC)	2 980	4 000	5 783	8 704	
Lovality (LV)	2 143	2 891	4 000	6.049	
Cleaness (CL)	1 414	1 971	2.746	4 000	
			2.7.10		
_					
The Second	Iteration Pairwise Ma	trices CxC=D			-
Communication (CO)	66.124	90.469	128.036	189.691	
Discipline (DC)	48.536	66.426	94.006	139.230	
Loyality (LY)	34.313	46.958	66.481	98.459	
Cleaness (CL)	23.070	31.562	44.682	66.196	
The Third	Iteration Pairwise Mat	ices DxD=E			
Communication (CO)	17532.862	23990.949	33958.483	50302.101	Γ
Discipline (DC)	12871.149	17612.133	24929.456	36927.562	
Loyality (LY)	9100.648	12452.798	17626.570	26109.926	
Cleaness (CL)	6117.700	8371.105	11849.053	17551.794	
The Fourth	Iteration Pairwise Ma	trices ExE=F			
Communication (CO)	1232969732.134	1687124099.173	2388074559.726	3537412675.160	٦
Discipline (DC)	905142439.032	1238544290.485	1753123029.094	2596870185.219	
Loyality (LY)	639988149.912	875722576.768	1239559560.546	1836137688.095	
Cleaness (CL)	430217222.949	588684235.955	833265228.258	1234301068.283	

Fig. 3. The Initial and Iteration Process Eigenvector Optimal using Mathematic Algebra Matrices

To prove the truth of the eigenvector value, it can be seen by knowing the difference between the last eigenvector acquisition and the previous eigenvector value, which is indicated on the decimal side, whether the difference is found or not. If there is no then it is true that the eigenvector value is said to be optimal.

Table 14 Eigenvector value difference





Category	Row Count	Normalization and Eigenvector	Eigenvector in difference
Communication (CO)	8845581066.1918100000000	0.384	-0.00000000215569506690
Discipline (DC)	6493679943.8289300000000	0.282	-0.00000000574915892670
Loyality (LY)	4591407975.3212100000000	0.199	0.00000000431810670554
Cleaness (CL)	3086467755.4457400000000	0.134	0.00000000358674701051
Total	23017136740.7877	1.000	-0.00000000000000027756
Last Iteration in Fourt I	teration		
Category	Row Count	Normalization and Eigenvector	Eigenvector in difference
Communication (CO)	43744712382069600000.0000	0.384	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Discipline (DC)	32113680188825100000.0000	0.282	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Loyality (LY)	22706232615607700000.0000	0.199	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Cleaness (CL)	15263739400291600000.0000	0.134	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Total	11382836458679400000.0000	1.000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000

Before Last Iteration in Third iteration

Thus the Optimal Eigenvector value has been obtained, by subtracting the last eigenvector value and the previous eigenvector value being zero, pay attention to Table 14 in the eigenvector in difference column, or by paying attention to the eigenvector value obtained in Fig. 2, so that the Eigenvector value can be used as a preference. for the weighting of each criterion used by the Profile Matching method, with each weighting as a weight of criteria for the ranking process which can be seen in Table 15 in the Weight of Criteria to Profile Matching column.

	Criteria weight of Profile Matching									
No.	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Target Value	Weight of Criteria to Profile Matching						
1	Communication	Speech 5								
		listening	3	0,384						
		Recording	4							
2	Discipline	Presence	4							
		Working	3	0,282						
		Reporting	5							
3	Loyality	Responsible	5							
		cooperation	4	0,199						
		Assiduity	3							
4	Cleanliness	Neatness	3							
		Treatment	3	0,134						
_		Magnificent	4							

The final total result with profile matching can be calculated with the value of N% obtained from Table 15 in the Weigh of Criteria to Profile Matching column with the weight criteria of each Communication 38.4%, Disciline 28.2%, Loyalty 19.9%, and Cleanliness 13.4%. Then the total value of Profile Matching will be obtained as shown in Table 16.

Table 16 Ranking Order											
No.	Sub-Aspect	N	ilai Total GAP	for each Aspec	t	Total GAP	Ranking				
		Communication	Dicipline	Loyality	Cleanliness	_					
		(38,4%)	(28,2%)	(19,9%)	(13,4%)						
2	KR02	5	4.7	4.1	4.4	4.65	1				
1	KR01	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.2	4.63	2				
5	KR05	5	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.45	3				
3	KR03	4.3	4	4.6	5	4.36	4				
4	KR04	4	4	4.4	4.4	4.13	5				
6	KR06	3.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.04	6				

*name of corresponding author





DISCUSSIONS

To determine the value of the criteria, it is better to use an expert choice application, because the results obtained are based on the results of research conducted from a number of respondents who know more logically and the parameters set are also known by a number of respondents. Many research results in determining the comparative value of each criterion are only set subjectively, this does not provide good consistency because it is subjective which is known by one person without paying attention to the interests of other people's judgments which allow different assessments.

CONCLUSION

From the discussion obtained based on the Profile Matching and Multi-Criteria Decision Making-Analytic Hierarchy Process (MCDM-AHP) methods for the selection process for job promotions, it turns out that it can be done objectively with the collaboration of these two methods. By involving people who do know the process of selecting a promotion, it can be felt by all employees and other leadership ranks, it can be used as a standard of assessment as a promotion according to the ability of potential replacement leaders in an organization. The results of promotions obtained from six candidates in the company objectively, the first rank is held by KR02 with a total GAP score of 4.65, the second rank is held by KR01 with a total GAP score of 4.63 and the third rank is held by KR05 with a total GAP score. 4.45; The ranking system used is to determine the weighting value of the total GAP generated by using the Profile Matching method collaboration with the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). Thus the combination of these two methods can be used for the promotion process objectively for all organizations that require leadership regeneration with support for decision making and optimal results. seen from the results of the study, it is suggested that the application of the value of importance should use research results and not from manual determination, use applications such as Expert Choce Apps or using Mathematic Algebra Matrices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thank you to my family who want to support in this research process which is very helpful of morally, then to other parties I do not forget to thank Universitas Nusa Mandiri for providing support in the form of motivation to write this article, and the publishing party from the editorial staff. who are willing to accept this article even though it is in such a careful process in selecting the topic until this article is published.

REFERENCES

- Ali, Y., Arif, S., Munir, F., Azeem, M. B., & Khan, A. U. (2020). Can india-pakistan sports promote peace? A MCDM approach. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(1), 60–81. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i1.642
- Allba, F. O., & Sasmita, N. (2018). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Asesor Ujian Kompetenesi Dengan Menerapkan Metode Profile Macthing (Studi Kasus Smks Imelda)".
- Bellahcene, M., Benamar, F. Z., & Mekidiche, M. (2020). Ahp and wafgp hybrid model for information system project selection. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(2), 228–253. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i2.761
- Dixon-Ogbechi, B. N., & Adebayo, A. K. (2020). Application of the ahp model to determine prefab housing adoption factors for developers in Lagos State. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(2), 297–327. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i2.635
- Herlambang, B. A., Dewanto, F. M., Harjanta, A. T., & Setyawati, V. A. V. (2018). Implementation of Profile Matching Methods In A Mobile Based Adolescent Nutritional Assessment Systems. *Jurnal Transformatika*, 16(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.26623/transformatika.v16i1.868
- Kamps, C., & Jassemi-Zargani, R. (2021). Decision Making In Dynamic Environments: An Application Of Machine Learning To The Analytical Hierarchy Process. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 13(1), 27–50. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v13i1.766
- Lahope, R. R., Worang, F. G., Gunawan, E., & Gunawan, E. (2020). the Importance of Soft Skills Training on Company Performance At Bni Tolitoli Branch Office. Jurnal EMBA: Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi, 8(1), 469–479. https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.v8i1.27784
- Lipovetsky, S. (2020). Priority Vector Estimation: Consistency, Compatibility, Precision. *International Journal* of the Analytic Hierarchy Process, 12(3), 577–591. https://doi.org/10.13033/IJAHP.V12I3.801
- Nisa, K., & Sutinah, E. (2018). Profile Matching Untuk Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Vendor Maintenance Server dan Jaringan. *Jurnal Informatika*, 5(2), 262–269. https://doi.org/10.31311/ji.v5i2.3638
- Noshad, M., & Asgharipour, S. (2019). Application of the analytic hierarchy method to optimize the choice of a gluten-free cookie formula fortified with banana peel powder. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 11(3), 355–367. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v11i3.618

Saaty, T. L. (2010). *The Eigenvector In Lay Language 2*. *What we learn when we have measurement*. 2(2), 163– *name of corresponding author



169.

- Singh, L. P., & Singh, S. (2019). Strategic enhancement of workplace safety in small scale manufacturing industries using AHP approach. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 11(2), 269–282. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v11i2.621
- Sitanggang, Rianto dan Sibagariang, S. (2019). Model Pengambilan Keputusan Dengan Teknik Metode. 4(1), 44–50.
- Sudrajat, B. (2018). Pemilihan Pegawai Berprestasi Dengan Menggunakan Metode Profile Matching. *Journal of Information System, Applied, Management, Accounting and Research*, 2(4), 20–28.
- The, F. O. R., Person, L. A. Y., & Lipovetsky, S. (1936). An Interpretation Of The AHP Eigenvector Solution GfK Custom Research North America 8401 Golden Valley Rd ., Minneapolis , MN 55427 , USA 2 . The AHP solution and its interpretation for the maximum eigenvalue λ yields the principal eigenvector α which ser. 2(2), 158–162.
- Topcu, I., & Ozaydin, O. (2020). MCDM2019 special topics articles. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(1), 2–2. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i1.754
- Umar, R., Fadlil, A., Dahlan, U. A., & Korespondensi, P. (2020). ANALYSIS OF AHP AND PROMETHEE METHOD ON DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR EMPLOYEE 'S SOFT SKILLS COMPETENCE ASSESMENT. 7(1). https://doi.org/10.25126/jtiik202071118
- Yalcin, G. D., & Ozturk, Z. (2020). Determining the area sizes of each product category in a department store using multi-criteria decision making methodologies. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(1), 3–27. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i1.602



Artikel-1 Akmaludin

by akmaludin.akm@nusamandiri.ac.id 1

Submission date: 05-Nov-2021 02:40AM (UTC-0500) Submission ID: 1693727259 File name: Artikel_Akm_Check01.docx (320.48K) Word count: 4592 Character count: 22719

SinkrOn

e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

Collaboration of Profile Matching and MCDM-AHP Methods on Employee Selection for Promotion

Akmaludin^{1)*}, Erene Gernaria Sihombing²⁾, Linda Sari Dewi³⁾, Rinawati⁴⁾, Ester Arisawati⁵⁾ ¹⁾²⁾⁸⁾⁴⁾⁵⁾Universitas Nusa Mandiri, Jakarta, Indonesia

¹⁾akmaludin.akm@nusamandiri.ac.id, ²⁾erene.egs@nusamandiri.ac.id, ³⁾linda.1rw@nusamandiri.ac.id, ⁴⁾rinawati.riw@nusamandiri.ac.id, ⁵ester.err@nusamandiri.ac.id

Submitted : xxxxx | Accepted : xxxx | Published : xxxxx

Abstract: To give appreciation to every employee who has been able to understand the journey of the system in a company, it is appropriate to be given appreciation in the process of changing leadership and as a form of motivation for all employees in the company as a form of promotion. The promotion process must be carried out objectively, so that a good leadership change system can be seen as a strength in the company's survival for each period. The process of changing leadership through promotions is at the forefront of running a sustainable company. With so many employees, the biggest obstacle that often becomes crucial is how to select the leadership relay holder through promotions in the company that can be carried out objectively, thus a method is needed, worthy to be used as a benchmark for selecting employees in terms of promotion. There is a method that can be used for employee selection as a form of promotion, namely the profile matching method through the assessment of the weight of the Aspects and the magnitude of the GAP value with the concept of determining the largest rank as the winner in the selection process for promoted employees. Of the six employees who are appointed as candidates for leadership candidates through promotions, they will be selected consistently with a number of criteria as a barometer of the selection process. The results obtained through the promotion of positions in the leadership change process were the first rank was elected KR02 (4.67), followed by the second rank KR01 (4.65) and the third rank KR05 (4.46). Thus, it can be said that the combination of profile matching and MCDM-AHP methods can be used to carry out the employee selection process as a form of promotion.

Keywords: MCDM-AHP, Profile Matching, Promotion, Objectives, Selections.

INTRODUCTION

To build sustainable certainty in building the leadership process in the company, it is necessary to make wise and fair rules of the game as a form of promotion to all employees in a company. This needs to be done because it is to get around the attitude of appreciation to all employees and also as a form of motivation to all employees in the company (Sudrajat, 2018). Due to the condition that there are so many employees in the company, an appropriate method is needed and can be used to carry out the selection process consistently (Herlambang et al., 2018). The purpose of this research is to encourage all employees in terms of promotion in the company consistently and objectively (Nisa & Sutinah, 2018). The method that can be used for promotion is the profile matching method, this selection process requires a number of criteria, namely 1) Communication which is divided into three namely speaking, listening, and recording 2) Discipline which is divided into three namely Attendance, Work, and Reports, 3) Loyalty is divided into three namely Responsibility, Cooperation, and Craft, 4) Cleanliness which is divided into three namely Action, Treatment, and Ability. These four criteria are used for the selection process of six employees who become candidates for promotion in the company. The profile matching method can be used as an employee selection process which becomes a barometer for determining promotions in the company . It is known that every employee has seen a lot of good work ethic and it is appropriate to be given a reward because it is a form of encouragement at work. However, it is hoped that the leadership change system should be made as good as possible to provide guarantees to all employees in the company no longer using subjective methods or by appointing directly by the leadership, but must be objective. Several related studies in terms of promotion as a form of decision support, namely "Implementation of Profile Matching Methods In a Mobile Based Adolescent Nutritional Assessment Systems" (Herlambang et al., 2018), "Selection of Outstanding Employees Using the Profile Matching Method" (Sudrajat, 2018), "Profile Matching *name of corresponding author





e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

for Decision Support Systems for Selection of Maintenance Server Vendors" (Nisa & Sutinah, 2018). and Networks", "Decision Support System for Selection of Majors Using the Profile Matching Method at SMK Negeri 2 Sekayu (Allba & Sasmita, 2018)".

Decision Support System (DSS)

LITERATURE REVIEW

Decision Support System (DSS) is a form of computerized solution (computer base) that can be used to solve semi-structured problems. DSS can also be a system used by managers to make computer-assisted decisions through the retrieval process as data collection that can be used as decision support (Kamps & Jassemi-Zargani, 2021). Decision making by managers is to determine the next step in carrying out organizational life . Seeing the understanding of the system which is a collection of a number of elements that interact with each other to achieve a goal (Bellahcene et al., 2020). Many experts define a system in another sense as a collection of a number of boundaries that are interconnected with each other to achieve goals by supporting each other to form an attachment function. Decision support systems have many uses in solving problems with various criteria used as measurement barometers (Dixon-Ogbechi & Adebayo, 2020), one of which can be used in the form of real applications, namely the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), where this AHP was discovered by an expert from the University of Pesburg in America who named Thomas L. Saaty in 1970 and today there are still many who use it as a ranking method with many criteria (Yalcin & Ozturk, 2020).

In its category, AHP is part of the Multi-criteria Decision Making (MCDM) (Topcu & Ozaydin, 2020). Thus, AHP can be used to solve problems from simple problems to even complex problems (Noshad & Asgharipour, 2019)(Ali et al., 2020), the AHP method is applied to problem conditions where the number of barometer criteria from a small number to even a complex barometer of criteria is not a problem. On the other hand, AHP also has extraordinary abilities, namely being able to handle quantitative problems as well as qualitative problems, even problems that are a combination of the two, both quantitative problems combined with qualitative problems (Singh & Singh, 2019). The Decision Support System has a flexible supporting application, namely Expert Choice (Saaty, 2010), this application can be used to determine the optimal value of the eigenvector in its calculation, (The et al., 1936). The calculations contained in the Expert Choice application can also be proven by the mathematical algebra matrices method. So that both can prove each other to the optimal magnitude of the eigenvector value. Several formulas that can be used using AHP, namely (1) are used to determine pairwise matrices with the number of orders determined by the number of criteria and alternatives.

$$M_{(r,s)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{(1,1)} & x_{(1,2)} & x_{(1,3)} & \dots & x_{(1,s)} \\ x_{(2,1)} & x_{(2,2)} & x_{(2,3)} & \dots & x_{(2,s)} \\ x_{(3,1)} & x_{(3,2)} & x_{(2,4)} & \dots & x_{(3,s)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{(r,1)} & x_{(r,2)} & x_{(r,3)} & \dots & x_{(r,s)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

To perform the calculation of the consistency process there are two consistency that need to be known, the first Consistency Index (CI), the determination of the CI value in (2) is used to find out how long max is generated from the multiplication between pairwise matrices with the optimal eigenvector value generated which is adjusted to the order of the pairwise matrices. Meanwhile, Consistency Ratio (CR) is used to determine whether the decision support is acceptable or not. The standard value of CR in (3) according to Saaty's determination must be less or equal to 10%. If the result of the CR operation is the opposite, the decision support will be rejected, meaning that an error occurred with the entry made when entering data elements in the pairwise matrices.

$$CI = \frac{(\lambda \max - \mathbf{n})}{(n-1)} \tag{2}$$

$$CR = \frac{Cl}{Rl}$$
(3)

Meanwhile, to determine the CR value, it must be supported by a Random Index (RI) table where the RI table provides a clear reference to the use of a matrix that is adjusted to the number of criteria or alternative orders. How to use it by paying attention to the number of orders used, for example, if the number of orders is 1 or 2, the value of RI used as the denominator is zero, usually researchers use the number of orders above 2, this is very important to do so that the value of the denominator is not worth infinity. The best solution is to determine the value for the criteria and alternatives must have data elements whose number is more than two orders, so that it looks consistent with the results obtained.

Table 1

*name of corresponding author





 Random Index

 Ordo
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10

 RI
 0.00
 0.00
 0.58
 0.90
 1.12
 1.24
 1.32
 1.41
 1.45
 1.48

Profile Matching

Profile Matching is used to solve problems in which there is an ideal level of predictor variables and must be met by a number of subjects to be studied. Profile Matching is a process of assuming the level of predictor variables that are ideal for subjects who are ready for the research process (Sitanggang, Rianto dan Sibagariang, 2019), (Sudrajat, 2018). There are several stages in the process of using the Profile Matching method (Nisa & Sutinah, 2018), namely stage 1) Assessment, assessment is a very important aspect in determining two factors, namely the main factor (core factor) and supporting factor (secondary factor). The second stage 2) mapping the competency GAP, which is used to determine the magnitude of the difference between the criteria used, the formula for determining the competency GAP, pay attention to (4). The third step is to determine the amount of weighting. The weighting of the GAP values used for the use of the Profile Matching method can be seen in Table 2. Stage 4) Grouping Core factors and Secondary factors, this is done after the process of determining the weight of GAP values against the required criteria (Allba & Sasmita, 2018), then broken down into main factors (Core factors) with the calculation formula can be seen in (5) and the supporting factor [Secondary factor] with the calculation formula using (6). Step 5) Determine the total value of each aspect that is estimated to affect the performance of each profile, the calculation formula can use (7).

$$NCI = \frac{\sum NC}{\sum IC}$$
(5)

$$NSI = \frac{\sum NS}{\sum IS}$$
(6)

$$N = (x)\% NCI + (x)\% NS$$
(7)

$$R = (x)\% NKI + (x)\% NSK + (x)\% NP$$
(8)

The dimension (x)% in the forumula (7) is usually set with a ratio of 60-40 because it is based on the priority of the core factor and secondary factor, in contrast to the use of formula (8) on the dimension (x)% can be done manually but this way more subjective in nature, but the best way is with the help of questionnaire instrumentation which is applied using the AHP method because to determine the value of decisions on rankings it must be objective, so that decision support is carried out consistently and naturally. The last step of Stage 6) is to determine a rating that can be calculated using (8).

		GAI	P score weight
No.	Nilai Bobot	Selisih GAP	Describing
1.	5	0	Competence as required
2.	4,5	1	1 level excess individual competence
3.	4	-1	Individual competence less than 1 level
4.	3,5	2	Individual competence excess 2 levels
5.	3	-2	Individual competence less than 2 levels
6.	2,5	3	Individual competence excess 3 levels
7.	2	-3	Individual competence less than 3 levels
8.	1,5	4	Individual competence excess 4 levels
9.	1	-4	Individual competence less than 4 levels

Table 2.

*name of corresponding author



This is an Creative Commons License This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

3

SinkrOn

e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X





RESULT

The Profile Matching method is a method that is often used as a mechanism in decision making by assuming that there is an ideal level of predictor variables that must be met by the subjects studied. To build the continuity of the company in a sustainable manner, of course the readiness of employees is needed to act as potential candidates, these candidates can be categorized as very mature in understanding the work processes that exist in the company, on the grounds that they are able to map the journey of the existing system and employees such as it is appropriate to have the opportunity to be given appreciation for being a leader in an organization when there is a change in leadership. If the leadership selection system is assessed in a good and consistent category, then many employees want to compete to become candidates for leadership candidates. The profile matching method is a method that can be used for the selection process in a company or organization, no longer with a system of pointing at the generation of leadership because it is known by many people that the system is not objective. The profile matching method can be used to consistently apply the leadership selection process based on weighting criteria into every aspect of the assessment. Of the six candidates who come from selected employees who are ready to become the best candidates in the company, they will be selected strictly and consistently with a barometer of four criteria and twelve sub-criteria providing a clear picture and can be seen in Table 3 and equipped with a target value.

Table	3
Aspect criteria and	target value

No.	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Target Value	No.	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Target Value
1	Communication	Speech	5	3	Loyality	Responsible	5
		listening	3	_		cooperation	4
		Recording	4			Assiduity	3
2	Discipline	Presence	4	_ 4	Cleanliness	Neatness	3
		Working	3	_		Treatment	3
1		Reporting	5			Magnificent	4

*name of corresponding author





e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

Next determine the grouping of the Core factor and Secondary factor of each sub-criteria respectively. This is determined according to the priority of each criterion with a comparison value of 60% for the core factor and 40% for the secondary factor. Pay attention to Table 4 as a form of grouping. By carrying out the mapping process, a GAP assessment will be formed for each candidate from the employees to be selected, pay attention to Table 5. Based on the respective criteria and sub-criteria.

No.	Aspect	Core factor	Secondary facto
1	Communication	Speech	Listening
		Recording	
2	Discipline	Presence	Working
		Reporting	
3	Loyalitas	Responsible	Assiduity
		Kerjasama	
4	Cleanliness	Neatness	Treatment
		Magnificent	

In Table 4, it can be seen that the grouping of each sub-criteria into a Core factor and Secondary factor, each Core factor consists of two criteria and the Secondary factor only takes one sub-criteria which is determined from each criterion.

No.	ID Karyawan	GAP Sub-A spect Value			Target Value Profile Criteria			
		1	2	3	5	3	4	
1	KR01	4	3	4	-1	0	0	
2	KR02	5	3	4	0	0	0	
3	KR03	5	2	3	0	-1	-1	
4	KR04	4	2	3	-1	-1	-1	
5	KR05	5	3	4	0	0	0	
6	KR06	3	2	2	-2	-1	-2	

In Table 5 it can be seen that the sub-criteria are determined with numbers 1,2, and 3 as GAP Aspect values that have been given an assessment for each candidate in this case employees who are represented by employee codes such as KRY01, KRY02, to KRY06 and for mapping GAP criteria others follow this rule. For Profile Criteria Value, a maximum range rating must be given according to the rules in Table 2. With a maximum rating of 5, while those listed in Table 5 the maximum value is 5.3 and 4 for Profile Criteria Value, respectively. This rule will apply to other Mapping GAP criteria. The next step is to display all other GAP Mappings such as Mapping GAP Disciplines listed in Table 6, Loyalty GAP Mappings listed in Table 7, and Mapping GAP Cleaness listed in Table 8.

No.	ID	GAP	Sub-Aspect	Value	Target Value Profile Criteria		
	Karyawan	1	2	3	4	3	5
1	KR01	4	3	4	0	0	-1
2	KR02	3	3	5	-1	0	0
3	KR03	2	2	5	-2	-1	0
4	KR04	3	3	4	-1	-1	-1
5	KR05	4	2	4	0	-2	-1
6	KR06	2	3	5	-2	0	0

1

*name of corresponding author



e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

			Tab Mapping G	le 7 AP Loyalt	y			
No.	ID Karyawan	GAP Sub-Aspect Value			Target Value Profile Criteria			
		1	2	3	5	4	3	
1	KR01	4	4	3	-1	0	0	
2	KR02	4	2	3	-1	-2	0	
3	KR03	5	4	2	0	0	-1	
4	KR04	4	3	3	-1	-1	0	
5	KR05	5	3	2	0	-1	-1	
6	KR06	4	3	3	-1	-1	0	

Table 8 Mapping GAP Clear

No.	ID Karyawan	GAP Sub-Aspect Value			Target Value Profile Criteria			
		1	2	3	3	3	4	
1	KR01	3	1	4	0	-2	0	
2	KR02	2	3	3	-1	0	-1	
3	KR03	3	3	4	0	0	0	
4	KR04	2	3	3	-1	0	-1	
5	KR05	3	2	3	0	-1	-1	
6	KR06	3	2	4	0	-1	0	

The next process is after determining the value of Mapping GAP, thus determining the grouping of Total GAP which is a combination of the Core factor and Secondary factor of each GAP with a weighting of 60% for the Core factor and 40% for the Secondary factor. Each criterion will be calculated the amount of the Total GAP. For Total GAP, the Communication Aspect criteria will be shown in Table 9, for Total GAP Discipline Aspect will be shown in Table 10, for Total GAP Loyalty Aspect will be shown in Table 11, and for Total GAP Cleaness will be shown in Table 12. each of the criteria used.

	Core facto	or and S	T econdary	Table 9 able factor	Commun	ication	Aspect
No.	Sub-Aspect	G	GAB Value		CF	SF	Total GAP
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)
1	KR01	4	5	5	4.5	5	4.7
2	KR02	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	KR03	5	4	4	4.5	4	4.3
4	KR04	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	KR05	5	5	5	5	5	5
6	KR06	3	4	3	3	4	3.4

Tabl	le	10	

No.	Sub-Aspect	C	AB Valu	ie	CF	SF	Total GAP
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)
1	KR01	5	5	4	4.5	5	4.7
2	KR02	4	5	5	4.5	5	4.7
3	KR03	3	4	5	4	4	4
4	KR04	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	KR05	5	3	4	4.5	3	3.9
6	KR06	3	5	5	4	5	4.4

1

*name of corresponding author

SinkrOn



This is an Creative Commons License This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

6



e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

	Table 11 Core factor and Secondary factor Loyality Aspect									
No.	Sub-Aspect	GAB Value		CF	SF	Total GAP				
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)			
1	KR01	4	5	5	4.5	5	4.7			
2	KR02	4	3	5	3.5	5	4.1			
3	KR03	5	5	4	5	4	4.6			
4	KR04	4	4	5	4	5	4.4			
5	KR05	5	4	4	4.5	4	4.3			
6	KR06	4	4	5	4	5	4.4			

Table 12						
Com	Castan	and C	a a a a d a a a	Castan	Classilinger	Annan

No.	Sub-Aspect	0	AB Valu	ie	CF	SF	Total GAP
	Alternative	1	2	3			(60% CF+40%SF)
1	KR01	5	3	5	5	3	4.2
2	KR02	4	5	4	4	5	4.4
3	KR03	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	KR04	4	5	4	4	5	4.4
5	KR05	5	4	4	4.5	4	4.3
6	KR06	5	4	5	5	4	4.6

With the calculation of Total GAP combined from each Aspect criteria by grouping employee ownership from Alternatives as candidates for leadership change in the company, then carry out the merging process for each employee then carry out the total GAP addition process as a whole to determine the ranking of each Candidates in the leadership change process will be determined as soon as possible. The calculation for determining the ranking is carried out with the value (N)% using the help of the Mathematical Algebra Matrices method with the iteration concept in AHP and Expert Choice Applications. As for the calculation using the Mathematical Algebra Matrices method to determine the preference weight of each of the four criteria used, data entry arranged into pairwise matrices is obtained through questionnaire instrumentation from prospective candidates as employees of active status within the company using saturated sampling, which means that all employees have the same share in the formation of the magnitude of the value of interest as the accumulated entry into the pairwise matrices as a comparison value. The first step is to calculate the Eigenvector for the calculation of the Total Profile Matching preference weight using the Expert Choice Application, previously prepared pairwise matrices entries which can be seen in Table 13 obtained from the Expert Choice Application. Operational calculations on the acquisition value of the Eigenvector value are also carried out using the Expert Choice Application, which results can be seen in Fig. 2 with Table 14 by using algebra matrices which produces four iterations and the result of obtaining the optimal eigenvector value as expected.

2 -1 1000		Table 13		
Inpu	it pairwise matr	ices using Ex	pert Choice A	pps.
	Communication	Discipline	Loyality	Cleanliness
Communication		1.636	1.895	2.437
Discipline			1.745	2.039
Loyality				1.798
Clcanliness	Incon: 0.01			

*name of corresponding author



This is an Creative Commons License This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

7

	Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika Volume 6, Number 2, April 2022 DOI : https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.xxx.xxx	e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X
Priorities with respect to:		
Goal: Selection of Employees as		
Communication	384	
Discipline -	282	
Loyality	199	
Cleanliness . Inconsistency = 0.01 with 0 missing judgments.	134	

Fig. 2. Eigenvector Optimal using Expert Choice Apps.

To get the Optimal Eigenvector value, it can also be done with Mathematical Algebra Matrices, before carrying out the calculation process, first set the pairwise matrices as initial Pairwise Matrices and the result of the multiplication of matrices occurs four times the multiplication iteration process. Pay attention to the process of multiplying pairwise matrices using Mathematical Algebra Matrices in the iteration steps listed in Fig. 3 with clear stages.

Initia	Pairwise Matrices A	xA=B			
Communication (CO)	1.000	1.636	1.895	2.437	
Discipline (DC)	0.611	1.000	1.745	2.039	
Loyality (LY)	0.528	0.573	1.000	1.798	
Cleaness (CL)	0.410	0.490	0.556	1.000	
The First I	teration Painzice Mat	icas ByB=C			
Communication (CO)	4 000	5 554	8 002	11 617	٦
Discipline (DC)	2.980	4 000	5 783	8 704	
Lovality (LY)	2.143	2 891	4 000	6 049	
Cleaness (CL)	1.414	1.971	2.746	4.000	
The Second	Iteration Pairwise Ma	trices CxC=D	122.227		
Communication (CO)	66.124	90.469	128.036	189.691	
Discipline (DC)	48.536	66.426	94.006	139.230	
Loyality (LY)	54.315	46.958	00.481	98.459	
Cleaness (CL)	23.070	31.562	44.682	66.196	
The Third I	teration Pairwise Mat	nices DxD=E			
Communication (CO)	17532.862	23990,949	33958,483	50302.101	٦
Discipline (DC)	12871.149	17612.133	24929.456	36927.562	
Lovality (LY)	9100.648	12452,798	17626.570	26109.926	
Cleaness (CL)	6117.700	8371.105	11849.053	17551.794	
71 5 4	14	C. P.P.P			
Ine routh	iteration Pairwise Ma	Inces EXE=F	3200074550 736	2527412675 1/0	-
Communication (CO)	1252909/32.134	108/124099.1/3	25880/4009./26	303/4120/0.100	
Discipline (DC)	905142439.032	1238344290.485	1/55123029.094	20968/0180.219	
Loyality (LY)	639988149.912	8/3/223/6./68	123900900.046	183613/688.095	
Cleaness (CL)	430217222.949	588684235.955	833265228.258	1234301068.283	_

Fig. 3. Initial and Iteration Process Eigenvector Optimal using Mathematic Algebra Matrices

*name of corresponding author





e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

	Table 14	
Eigenvec	ctor value difference	
Third iteration		
Row Count	Normalization and Eigenvector	Eigenvector in difference
8845581066.1918100000000	0.384	-0.00000000215569506690
6493679943.82893000000000	0.282	-0.00000000574915892670
4591407975.32121000000000	0.199	0.00000000431810670554
3086467755.4457400000000	0.134	0.00000000358674701051
23017136740.7877	1.000	-0.00000000000000027756
teration		
Row Count	Normalization and Eigenvector	Eigenvector in difference
43744712382069600000.0000	0.384	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
32113680188825100000.0000	0.282	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
22706232615607700000.0000	0.199	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
15263739400291600000.0000	0.134	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
113828364586794000000.0000	1.000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Eigenver Third iteration Row Count \$845581066.1918100000000 6493679943.8289300000000 4591407975.3212100000000 3086467755.4457400000000 23017136740.7877 teration Row Count 4374471238206960000.0000 32113680188825100000.0000 3213680188825100000.0000 13263739400291600000.0000 1382836458679400000.0000	Faile F4 Eigenvector value difference Third iteration Row Count Normalization and Eigenvector 8845581066.1918100000000 0.384 6493679943.8289300000000 0.282 4591407975.32121000000000 0.199 3086467755.4457400000000 0.134 23017136740.7877 1.000

Thus the Optimal Eigenvector value has been obtained, by subtracting the last eigenvector value and the previous eigenvector value being zero, pay attention to Table 14 in the eigenvector in difference column, or by paying attention to the eigenvector value obtained in Fig. 2, so that the Eigenvector value can be used as a preference. for the weighting of each criterion used by the Profile Matching method, with each weighting as a weight of criteria for the ranking process which can be seen in Table 15 in the Weight of Criteria to Profile Matching column.

No.	Criteria	Sub-criteria	Target Value	Weight of Criteria to Profile Matching	
1	Communication	Speech	5	i desta montre a	
		listening	3	0,384	
		Recording	4		
2	Discipline	Presence	4		
			Working	3	0,282
		Reporting	5		
3	Loyality	Responsible	5		
		cooperation	4	0,199	
		Assiduity	3		
4	Cleanliness	Neatness	3	94231945349544	
		Treatment	3	0,134	
		Magnificent	4		

The final total result with profile matching can be calculated with the value of N% obtained from Table 15 in the Weigh of Criteria to Profile Matching column with the weight criteria of each Communication 38.4%, Disciline 28.2%, Loyalty 19.9%, and Cleanliness 13.4%. Then the total value of Profile Matching will be obtained as shown in Table 16.

Table	16	
1 able	10	

No.	Sub-Aspect	ub-Aspect Nilai Total GAP for each Aspect			Total GAP	Ranking	
		Communication (38,4%)	Dicipline (28,2%)	Loyality (19,9%)	Cleanliness (13,4%)		
2	KR02	5	4.7	4.1	4.4	4.65	1
1	KR01	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.2	4.63	2
5	KR05	5	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.45	3
3	KR03	4.3	4	4.6	5	4.36	4
4	KR04	4	4	4.4	4.4	4.13	5
6	KR06	3.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.04	6

*name of corresponding author





e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

DISCUSSIONS

To determine the value of the criteria, it is better to use an expert choice application, because the results obtained are based on the results of research conducted from a number of respondents who know more logically and the parameters set are also known by a number of respondents. Many research results in determining the comparative value of each criterion are only set subjectively, this does not provide good consistency because it is subjective which is known by one person without paying attention to the interests of other people's judgments which allow different assessments.

CONCLUSION

From the discussion obtained based on the Profile Matching and Multi-Criteria Decision Making-Analytic Hierarchy Process (MCDM-AHP) methods for the selection process for job promotions, it turns out that it can be done objectively with the collaboration of these two methods. By involving people who do know the process of selecting a promotion, it can be felt by all employees and other leadership ranks, it can be used as a standard of assessment as a promotion according to the ability of potential replacement leaders in an organization. The results of promotions obtained from six candidates in the company objectively, the first rank is held by KR02 with a total GAP score of 4.65, the second rank is held by KR01 with a total GAP score of 4.63 and the third rank is held by KR05 with a total GAP score. 4.45; The ranking system used is to determine the weighting value of the total GAP generated by using the Profile Matching method collaboration with the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). Thus the combination of these two methods can be used for the promotion process objectively for all organizations that require leadership regeneration with support for decision making and optimal results..

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thank you to my family who want to support in this research process which is very helpful of morally, then to other parties I do not forget to thank Universitas Nusa Mandiri for providing support in the form of motivation to write this article, and the publishing party from the editorial staff. who are willing to accept this article even though it is in such a careful process in selecting the topic until this article is published.

REFERENCES

- Ali, Y., Arif, S., Munir, F., Azeem, M. B., & Khan, A. U. (2020). Can india-pakistan sports promote peace? A MCDM approach. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(1), 60–81. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i1.642
- Allba, F. O., & Sasmita, N. (2018). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Asesor Ujian Kompetenesi Dengan Menerapkan Metode Profile Macthing (Studi Kasus Smks Imelda)".
- Bellahcene, M., Benamar, F. Z., & Mekidiche, M. (2020). Ahp and wafgp hybrid model for information system project selection. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(2), 228–253. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i2.761

Dixon-Ogbechi, B. N., & Adebayo, A. K. (2020). Application of the ahp model to determine prefab housing adoption factors for developers in Lagos State. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(2), 297–327. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i2.635

- Herlambang, B. A., Dewanto, F. M., Harjanta, A. T., & Setyawati, V. A. V. (2018). Implementation of Profile Matching Methods In A Mobile Based Adolescent Nutritional Assessment Systems. Jurnal Transformatika, 16(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.26623/transformatika.v16i1.868
- Kamps, C., & Jassemi-Zargani, R. (2021). Decision Making In Dynamic Environments: An Application Of Machine Learning To The Analytical Hierarchy Process. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 13(1), 27–50. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v13i1.766
- Nisa, K., & Sutinah, E. (2018). Profile Matching Untuk Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Vendor Maintenance Server dan Jaringan. Jurnal Informatika, 5(2), 262–269. https://doi.org/10.31311/ji.v5i2.3638

Noshad, M., & Asgharipour, S. (2019). Application of the analytic hierarchy method to optimize the choice of a gluten-free cookie formula fortified with banana peel powder. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 11(3), 355–367. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v11i3.618

Saaty, T. L. (2010). The Eigenvector In Lay Language 2. What we learn when we have measurement. 2(2), 163–169.

Singh, L. P., & Singh, S. (2019). Strategic enhancement of workplace safety in small scale manufacturing industries using AHP approach. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 11(2), 269–282. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v11i2.621

Sitanggang, Rianto dan Sibagariang, S. (2019). Model Pengambilan Keputusan Dengan Teknik Metode. 4(1), 44–50.

Sudrajat, B. (2018). Pemilihan Pegawai Berprestasi Dengan Menggunakan Metode Profile Matching. *Journal of* *name of corresponding author





e-ISSN : 2541-2019 p-ISSN : 2541-044X

Information System, Applied, Management, Accounting and Research, 2(4), 20-28.

The, F. O. R., Person, L. A. Y., & Lipovetsky, S. (1936). An Interpretation Of The AHP Eigenvector Solution GfK Custom Research North America 8401 Golden Valley Rd., Minneapolis, MN 55427, USA 2. The AHP solution and its interpretation for the maximum eigenvalue λ yields the principal eigenvector a which ser. 2(2), 158–162.

Topcu, I., & Ozaydin, O. (2020). MCDM2019 special topics articles. International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process, 12(1), 2–2. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i1.754

Yalcin, G. D., & Ozturk, Z. (2020). Determining the area sizes of each product category in a department store using multi-criteria decision making methodologies. *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 12(1), 3–27. https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v12i1.602

*name of corresponding author



This is an Creative Commons License This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

11

Artikel-1 Akmaludin

ORIGINALITY REPORT

10% SIMILARITY INDEX	10% INTERNET SOURCES	10% PUBLICATIONS	0% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
1 jurnal.polgan.ac.id			10%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 10%
Exclude bibliography	On		

Artikel-1 Akmaludin

PAGE 1	
PAGE 2	
PAGE 3	
PAGE 4	
PAGE 5	
PAGE 6	
PAGE 7	
PAGE 8	
PAGE 9	
PAGE 10	
PAGE 11	



SURAT TUGAS 041/B.01/LPPM-UNM/III/2022

Tentang

PENELITIAN YANG DIPUBLIKASIKAN DALAM JURNAL ILMIAH Periode Maret - Agustus 2022

Menulis pada Sinkron Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika, Vol. 7, No. 2, April 2022 E- ISSN: 2541-2019, P-ISSN: 2541-044X

Judul :

Collaboration of Profile Matching and MCDM-AHP Methods on Employee Selection for Promotion

Menimbang

- 1. Bahwa perlu diadakan pelaksanaan Tridharma Perguruan Tinggi dalam bentuk Penelitian.
- 2. untuk Keperluan pada butir 1 (satu) diatas, maka perlu dibentuk tugas yang berkaitan dengan penelitian yang dipublikasikan dalam Jurnal Ilmiah.

MEMUTUSKAN

Pertama : Menugaskan kepada saudara
Akmaludin S.Kom, MMSI

Sebagai Penulis yang mempublikasikan Penelitianya pada Jurnal Ilmiah.

Kedua

Mempunyai tugas sbb: Melaksanakan Tugas yang diberikan dengan penuh rasa tanggung jawab.

Ketiga : Keputusan ini berlaku sejak tanggal ditetapkan, dengan ketentuan apabila dikemudian hari terdapat kekeliruan akan diubah dan diperbaiki sebagaimana mestinya

Jakarta,1 Maret 2022 Ketua LPPM niversitas Nus ndiri Andi Saryoko, M.Kom

Tembusan

- Rektor Universitas Nusa Mandiri

:

- Arsip - Ybs

nusamandiri.ac.id

SERTIFIKAT

Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi/ Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional



Petikan dari Keputusan Menteri Riset dan Teknologi/ Kepala Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional Nomor 148/M/KPT/2020 Peringkat Akreditasi Jurnal Ilmiah Periode II Tahun 2020 Nama Jurnal Ilmiah

Sinkron : Jurnal dan Penelitian Teknik Informatika

E-ISSN: 25412019

Penerbit: Politeknik Ganesha Medan

Ditetapkan sebagai Jurnal Ilmiah



Akreditasi Berlaku selama 5 (lima) Tahun, yaitu Volume II Nomor 1 Tahun 2020 sampai Volume 15 Nomor 2 Tahun 2024

Jakarta, 03 Agustus 2020

Menteri Riset dan Teknologi da Bedan Piset dan Inovasi Nasional Republik Indonesia,

Bambang P. S. Brodjonegoro